

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,316	03/23/2004	Motoki Yoshida	742425-30	1763
22204 7	590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/806,316	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hilary Gutman	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/04</u> . 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 8, "a" should be inserted after "from". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '238 in view of Davies et al.

JP '238 discloses a side structure of a vehicle comprising: a slide door opening area 2 formed at the side wall of the vehicle, a slide door 6 slidable to freely open and close the slide door opening area, a window opening area formed at the upper portion of the slide door, a window pane (inherent, but not numbered) closing the window opening area, wherein, a rear

periphery of the slide door opening area comprises a first rear periphery formed substantially corresponding in position to an area where the window opening area is arranged and a second rear periphery formed below the first rear periphery with respect to the vehicular vertical direction, said first rear periphery being located behind said second rear periphery with respect to the vehicle's longitudinal direction, the slide door is slidable to a fully opened position where a front periphery of the window opening area substantially corresponds in position to said second rear periphery of the slide door opening area, the longitudinal length between said front periphery of the window opening area and said first rear periphery is greater than the longitudinal length between said front periphery of the window opening area and said second rear periphery in a fully-opened condition of the slide door, and a lower portion of a rear periphery of the slide door substantially corresponding in position to said second rear periphery is located in front of an upper portion substantially corresponding in position condition of the slide door, to said first rear periphery in a fully-closed condition of the slide door.

With regard to claim 2, a center rail 15 is located in vertically intermediate position of the side wall of the vehicle and extends between said second rear periphery and a rear end of the vehicle. A center roller 13 is provided at the slide door and slidably supported by the center rail.

JP '238 lacks the window pane being elevated and lowered to freely open and close the window opening area.

Davies et al. (6,220,650) teach a movable window pane 18 which may be lowered into the vehicle door 12 to provide an opening.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a movable window pane as taught by Davies et al. in place of the window pane of JP '238 in order to allow passengers within the vehicle to get fresh air.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The indicated allowability of claims 1-2 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to JP '238 and Davies et al. '650. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are set forth above.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hilary Gutman

November 16, 2004